



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/030,929                                  | 07/19/2002    | Yves Blais           | 9555.126USWO           | 3967             |
| 23552 759                                   | 90 10/04/2005 |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC                         |               |                      | . MCKELVEY, TERRY ALAN |                  |
| P.O. BOX 2903<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 |               |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | .,            |                      | 1636                   |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment   | 10/030,929   | BLAIS ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Terry A. McKelvey  | 1636  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a  |  |   |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of  (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the composed reply was received on, but it does not be a supply to the Office of the Composed reply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on, but it does not be a supply was received on | f Mailing or Transmission dated<br>of month(s)) which expired on _ | <u> </u>  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely final Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3  | led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                            |   |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as real Allowability (PTO-37).   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter-<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl  |  | se the period for seeking court review              |  |  |  |
| 7.   The reason(s) below:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| The applicant's representative, Gregory Sebald, confirmed that no proper reply has been timely filed and thus the applicantion is abandoned.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Jem a We Jobe<br>TERRY MCKELVEY<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  Notice   | e of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 905                               |  |  |  |